comment

Published by The Society of Operations Engineers

President Shaun Stephenson EngTech FSOE FIRTE

Chief Executive Ian Chisholm BA IEng FSOE FIRTE FCILT MCMI MIMI

The Society of Operations Engineers is a

Registered in England Company No 3667147

Registered Charity No 1081753

A Company Limited by Guarantee

Registered Office 22 Greencoat Place, London SW1P 1PR Tel: 020 7630 1111 Fax: 020 7630 6677 Email: soe@soc.org.uk www.soe.org.uk

Editor Will Dalrymple Email: will.dalrymple@markallengroup.com

Consulting Editor Brian Tinham BSc CEng MInstMC FSOE FIPlantE FIRTE

Contributing Editors

Brian Weatherley, Dan Gilkes, Toby Clark, John Challen, Ian Norwell, Laura Cork, Peter Shakespeare, Steve Banner, Kevin Swallow

Production Manager Nicki McKenna Email: nicki.mckenna@markallengroup.com

Advertisement Manager Craig Molloy Email: craig.molloy@markallengroup.com Tel: 01322 221144

Publisher Jon Benson

Transport Engineer is the official journal of IRTE. Produced on behalf of IRTE by

Produced on behalf of IKIE MA Business Hawley Mill, Hawley Road, Dartford, Kent DA2 7TJ Tel: 01322 221144

www.transportengineer.org.uk

Transport Engineer is distributed free of charge to SOE members, dependent on membership sector. For non-members, the annual subscription rate (12 issues) is £79.50 UK and EU, or £81.50 airmail outside EU. For other SOE members, the discounted rate is £32

Origination by CC Media Group

Printed by Pensord Press UK

ISSN 0020-3122

Some of the articles and guidance included in Transport Engineer may make a contribution to your personal CPD requirements.

Views expressed in Transport Engineer are those of the writers and do not necessarily reflect the views of The Society of Operations Engineers or of MA Business.

© 2017 The Society of Operations Engineers









Levy payback

ith the launch of the apprenticeship levy, the training landscape is changing. Now that the levy is operational, companies that have adopted a 'wait-and-see' attitude might find they need to act swiftly before a competitor steals a march.

Having visited two bus and coach training centres in the past few months, I've heard that sales enquiries are way up; the training market is booming. This should be entirely expected.

The new scheme, which came into effect in April, requires a large business to set aside 0.5% of its total wage bill for apprenticeships. It can then use that pot only for training requirements according to one of the many approved standards. In smaller businesses, the government picks up most of the apprentices' training bill.

While some companies may question whether being required to spend hard-earned cash buying training is the best investment they can make, this is an industry that has no legal baseline - there are no minimum statutory qualifications for bus technicians. So having a little more technical training must be a good thing. Even better would be requiring those students to take an irtec technician assessment at the end of the course to prove their competence.

In the bus and coach world, the relatively few existing training providers are now in a strong position: they supply in a market of increased demand.

But beyond that timescale, I wonder if the trend might not reverse as operators - particularly the large ones - start to grow their own apprentices. I say this having seen a pioneer first-hand: the Arriva London Academy in Edmonton, north London, which is profiled next month.

Chief engineer Jon Harman told TE that a factor in the Arriva board of directors' support for the new academy was financial. As a training provider, it receives payments for providing courses from the levy paid by the employers of its students. In other words, Arriva can (partly) counteract the effect of its own outward flow of levy spend required by law, thanks to a new revenue stream.

How long will it be, Harman wondered, before other operators' boards are asking how much of the levy they have been able to extract value from?

Will Dalrymple

Editor